Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental				
LRB Number 09-2515/1	Introduction Number AB-0280				
Description Seizure of a computer used to commit a crime a	and providing a penalty				
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues to absorb within agency's budget enues Pease Existing Enues Decrease Costs				
Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ 1.☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Districts ☐ Districts					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date				
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700	Tom Herman (608) 266-0239 5/28/2009				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 5/28/2009

LRB Number	09-2515/1	Introduction Number	AB-0280	Estimate Type	Original
Description					
Seizure of a computer used to commit a crime and providing a penalty					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

If this bill were to be enacted, it is difficult to estimate the potential cost to DA offices throughout the State because data is not available. Prosecutors are concerned that forfeiture cases involving computers could be extremely time consuming because they often are contested. In addition, to meet the burden of proof for computer cases requires proof of knowledge, intent, and consent of the owner.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

It is difficult to estimate a long-range fiscal impact of this bill on DA offices.

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental				
LRB Number 09-2515/1	Introduction Number AB-0280				
Description Seizure of a computer used to commit a crime a	and providing a penalty				
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues location in the content of the				
Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ 1.☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive☐ Mandatory ☐ Districts ☐ Districts					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date				
DOJ/ Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463	Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463 8/14/2009				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 8/14/2009

LRB Number 09-2515/1	Introduction Number AB-0280	Estimate Type	Original	
Description				
Seizure of a computer used to commit a crime and providing a penalty				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The Department of Justice does not anticipate a fiscal impact due to the enactment of 2009 Assembly Bill 280.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected Supple	emental	
LRB Number 09-2515/1	Introduction Number AB-028	30	
Description Seizure of a computer used to commit a crime a	nd providing a penalty		
Fiscal Effect			
Appropriations Reversible Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Reversible Decrease Existing Reversible Decrease Existing Decrease New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs	Increase Costs - May be to absorb within agency enues ease Existing to absorb within agency enues Tyes Decrease Costs 5.Types of Local Government Units Affect Government	y's budget No No sted Cities	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date	
SPD/ Mike Tobin (608) 266-8259	Krista Ginger (608) 264-8572	5/29/2009	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 5/29/2009

LRB Number	09-2515/1	Introduction Number	AB-0280	Estimate Type	Original
Description					
Seizure of a computer used to commit a crime and providing a penalty					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. The bill would authorize the seizure and civil forfeiture of computers used in criminal activity, if the owner knew of (and consented to) the criminal activity. The SPD would not be required or authorized to appoint attorneys for forfeiture proceedings under this bill, and therefore, the SPD does not anticipate any increase in its caseload if the bill is enacted. There may be criminal cases in which the attorney appointed by the SPD in the criminal case needs to provide information to the client or obtain documents related to the seizure of a computer. However, the SPD does not anticipate that the attorney time involved would be significant.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. However, for the same reason that the SPD does not anticipate any impact on its caseload or expenditures, the counties are unlikely to see any increase in case appointments or costs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications